

CONSUMER FINANCE PODCAST S01EP06

STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL CALL ON FINANCIAL GIANTS TO ELIMINATE OVERDRAFT FEES AIRED JUNE 16, 2022

[CHRIS WILLIS]

Welcome to the Consumer Finance Podcast. I'm your host Chris Willis, the co-practice leader of Troutman Pepper's Consumer Financial Services Regulatory group. And welcome to today's podcast where we're going to be talking about a recent multi-state Attorney General announcement about bank overdraft fees. But before we jump into that topic, let me remind you about our blog - ConsumerFinancialServicesLawMonitor.com, where we post new material daily about all important happenings in the consumer financial services industry. And don't forget to check out our other podcast - FCRA Focus, which as the name suggests, is all about the Fair Credit Reporting Act, and it's released monthly on all popular podcast platforms. And if you like this podcast be sure to let us know. Leave us a review on any of the popular podcast platforms where you might be getting our podcasts. Now, as I said today we're going to be talking about a recent statement by a number of State Attorneys General about bank overdraft fees. And joining me to talk about this are two of our most rising star associates. First, we have Susan Nikdel who is one of our Consumer Financial Services associates in our Orange County office and then we also have Chris Carlson, who is an associate in our nationally renowned State Attorney General group and Chris is based in Richmond. So first of all, Susan, Chris, thanks for joining me on the podcast today.

[SUSAN NIKDEL]

Thanks Chris, excited to join.

[CHRIS WILLIS]

So, Susan, let me start with you and just ask you, like tell the audience what has happened here? What have the State Attorneys General done and in fact what State Attorneys General were involved?

[SUSAN NIKDEL]

Sure, so earlier this month, on April 4th, the New York Attorney General, Leticia James, led a multi-state coalition of Attorney Generals to call on the nation's largest banks to eliminate overdraft fees. There was a letter that actually went out to the CEOs of JPMorgan Chase, Bank of America, U.S. Bank and Wells Fargo, in which the Attorney General urged each bank to eliminate overdraft fees by this summer. As many of you likely know, late last year Capital One committed to ending all overdraft and non-sufficient fund fees for its customers. And then in February of 2022, Citibank followed Capital One's lead and announced that it too would eliminate overdraft fees by this summer. So, in this letter, led by Attorney General Leticia James, the 17 Attorney Generals are now urging the four other financial giants to follow their peers' lead and eliminate these fees.



[CHRIS WILLIS]

Thanks Susan. And so, Chris, like, just give us a little bit of background here. What are overdraft fees and what is the controversy surrounding them?

[CHRIS CARLSON

Chris, thanks again for having me on the *Consumer Finance Podcast*. Overdraft fees are incurred when a consumer spends more money than they have available in their bank account. The states' letter cites to a study run by the Center for Responsible Lending, showing that U.S. consumers paid an estimated \$11 billion in overdraft fees in 2019. I will note that that study was pre-pandemic, but the states note that there is an expectation that this amount will rise due to the pandemic. They also emphasize that the financial burden disproportionately falls on low-income consumers and consumers of color. Specifically, the study concluded that 84% of those fees assessed to consumers occurred with those with the lowest account balances. But, I think it's important that we hear actually from Tish James' statement because I think that was telling. Susan, do you want to remark on that a little bit?

[SUSAN NIKDEL]

Sure, I'd love to share a direct quote from Attorney General James in which she stated, and I quote, "For too long excessive overdraft fees have hurt the most financially vulnerable New Yorkers. Working families and low-income New Yorkers cannot afford to continue to be harmed by this unfair and punitive practice while banks reap big profits. And I'm calling on the largest consumer banks in the nation to do the right thing and remove overdraft fees. We need a fairer and more inclusive banking system that supports all New Yorkers."

[CHRIS WILLIS]

And you know this rhetoric about overdraft fees from the New York Attorney is strongly reminiscent of a lot of statements that we have seen from other regulators including, most particularly, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau where the Director, Director Chopra, has made a number of comments over the past several months about overdraft fees and has applied a lot of pressure to bank to eliminate them, similar I think, to what the State Attorneys General are getting on as well. And so, Susan, like this has become an issue obviously for a lot of regulators and can you tell us – you mentioned that there were 17 Attorneys General joining this letter, can you tell us who the other states were that were involved?

[SUSAN NIKDEL]

Of course, joining Attorney James in sending this letter are the Attorney Generals of California, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Delaware, Hawaii, along with the Hawaii Office of Consumer Protection, Illinois, Iowa, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, North Carolina – who signed all except Bank of America, which we'll touch on later, Oregon, Pennsylvania and Washington.

[CHRIS WILLIS]

Thanks. And so, Chris, you and your colleagues are always watching the State Attorneys General and what they're doing because your practice revolves around dealing with them all the time. From your standpoint, what are some of the initial take-aways that the industry should have from this joint letter that we've been talking about?



[CHRIS CARLSON]

Chris, that's a good question and anytime we see these comment letters, we can't just tick through the states and just say oh this is a group of 18. I think each state needs to be looked at individually and the number matters and the 18 Attorneys General and political party of the participating AGs matter. Just as background, there are 56 State and Territorial Attorneys General and they represent their citizens and as such they often have distinct priorities and perspectives that don't simply follow political lines. So, when I looked at the letter, it caught my eye that the AG sent the letter directly to the banks. They could have made the decision to send it to an agency, they could have made a decision just simply to issue a press release. But they did this and quite interesting, and I think Susan is going to talk a little bit about this, they did this despite the CFPB currently receiving comments on various fees imposed by consumers. Susan, you want to talk a little bit about that?

[SUSAN NIKDEL]

Yeah, sure. So many of these same AGs that we just mentioned also took the opportunity to send letters to mortgage servicers. In fact, in July of 2019, many of the same state AGs sent a letter to the CFPB regarding the CFPB's debit card overdraft fee rule. There the State AGs stated that they recommend that these fees be proportionate to the amounts banks pay to cover overdraft transactions. And also interestingly, within that letter to the CFPB, these State Attorney Generals, didn't forget to mention their issue with the banks in general stating, and I quote, "We note that recent announcements from some financial institutions concerning their reduction or elimination of overdraft fees and insufficient funds fees and believe that these highlight additional example of harmful junk fees," as they characterized it.

[CHRIS WILLIS]

So, Chris when we think about the messaging here that we're hearing from the State AGs, what do you think the purpose is? What was the end game of the multiple State Attorneys General who joined these letters to the various banks?

[CHRIS CARLSON]

Thanks Chris and that's a really good question. I really like your language of end game because I think this is the start of a clear directive to the financial services industry generally. First, the comment letter demonstrates the policies of certain State Attorneys General, and it does so through a public outreach. Not all State AGs have the authority to issue regulations and instead all are required to enforce a general statutory framework. That framework mandates that State AGs prohibit unfair and deceptive acts of practices. That framework of unfair and deceptive practices is often undefined and as a result these letters allow State AGs to make clear what business practices they are scrutinizing and evaluating. But as it relates specifically to this letter, this comment letter put the financial services industry on notice. By making the decisive and purposeful decision to send letters to the leading market players and these banks, it gets the attention of not just those banks and those CEOs that received the letter, but to the entire industry. State AGs took that same approach during COVID's infancy sending letters to the three largest credit reporting agencies, emphasizing compliance with federal and state reporting act requirements in light of the CARES Act. This method I know this from experience, got the attention of the entire credit reporting industry and we expect the same to happen here. But I know we talked a little bit about end game. Susan what are you expecting in terms of next steps?





[SUSAN NIKDEL]

You know I do think that traditionally these sign-on letters as you mentioned, are meant to give notice to an industry and then they're often followed by State Attorney General investigations and subsequent enforcement actions for companies that fail to take action to comply with such policy. And so, over the past 10 years State Attorney Generals have adopted a policy straight through enforcement actions and such actions, as Chris noted, were taken under state Unfair and Deceptive Practice laws which, as we all know, carry very strong civil penalty provisions. So, if companies fail to act in wake of receiving these letters, I think that's a bad idea because there could be some investigations and subsequent enforcement actions coming down the pipeline. And another thing that I think is important to note is who did not sign on to these letters. As we mentioned, we went through the list of who did, but there were 18 Democratic Attorney Generals who signed on to the letter led by New York. And then of the 51 states and DC, all 27 Republican AGs did not join the letter. Six Democratic AGs also did not join the letter and that includes Colorado, Maine, New Mexico, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Wisconsin. We don't want to read too much into the tea leaves here and assume that just because a state did not join the letter that means AGs are not in favor of or support the business's practices because State AGs do take responsibility very seriously and want to ensure that the policy positions that they're taking accurately are reflected in a comment letter such as this one. For instance, we're aware of some State AGs not joining a multi-page letter based on disagreement with just a single sentence or a single phrase. That being said, though, we did want to highlight North Carolina AG, Josh Stein, who joined all the letters except Bank of America, interestingly. Now Bank of America is headquartered in North Carolina where Josh Stein serves. According to recent public statements, Bank of America did announce in January of 2020 that it was lowering fees for overdrafts from \$35 to \$10 starting in May of 2020. So that is a move in the right direction in the eyes of these Attorney Generals. But we do have to assume that the North Carolina AG probably has a working relationship with the business and chose not to join the Bank of America comment letter for some reason.

[CHRIS CARLSON]

I think that's a really good point Susan, and in circling back to not reading the tea leaves, it does just based on my experience it takes a lot of energy to get 18 AGs to join any letter and I think it's important that we take this comment letter seriously because of the fact that once you have 18 AGs agreeing on something, you have this automatic development of a multi-state. You have 18 AGs that are on the same policy framework, and they have an agreement on a policy prospective they want to move forward, and I think perhaps as we discussed in multi-states generally that is a very impressive force that we need to take in account, and we should be expecting them to take action over the next few months.

[CHRIS WILLIS]

Well Chris, thank you for that. And Susan thank you for your comments on this podcast, too. It's really a proud moment for me to see two of our rising stars participate in the podcast this way and it shows you just what a bright future our Consumer Financial Services group has in serving the industry here at Troutman Pepper. So, I want to thank the two of you for being on the podcast today and of course thank our audience for listening to the podcast as well. Don't forget to visit our blog – ConsumerFinancialServicesLawMonitor.com and subscribe to it so you will get all the updates of the content that we post there almost every day. And add yourself to our



email list for our alerts and webinar invitations. You can add yourself at our blog ConsumerFinancialServicesLawMonitor.com or at troutman.com. And stay tuned for a great new episode of this podcast every Thursday. Thank you all for listening.

Copyright, Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP. These recorded materials are designed for educational purposes only. This podcast is not legal advice and does not create an attorney-client relationship. The views and opinions expressed in this podcast are solely those of the individual participants. Troutman Pepper does not make any representations or warranties, express or implied, regarding the contents of this podcast. Information on previous case results does not guarantee a similar future result. Users of this podcast may save and use the podcast only for personal or other non-commercial, educational purposes. No other use, including, without limitation, reproduction, retransmission or editing of this podcast may be made without the prior written permission of Troutman Pepper. If you have any questions, please contact us at troutman.com.