Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law

LEXISNEXIS® A.S. PRATT®

JULY/AUGUST 2022

EDITOR'S NOTE: THE SUMMER READING ISSUE Victoria Prussen Spears

SEVENTH CIRCUIT PROVIDES RARE GUIDANCE ON "STATUTORY LIENS" Ivan Loncar, Michele C. Maman and Casey John Servais

HOW INTERCREDITOR AGREEMENTS SHAPE BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS AND OUTCOMES FOR SECURED CREDITORS Kim Wynn, Christopher Combest and Jason Curry

RECENT SIGNIFICANT U.S. CHAPTER 15 DECISIONS Francisco Vazquez

NO PROCEDURAL NOTICE, NO PROBLEM: 11TH CIRCUIT AFFIRMS DUE PROCESS NOT VIOLATED WHERE DEBTOR PROVIDES ACTUAL NOTICE Matthew Goren, Lauren Tauro and Jillian McMillan

TRUE SALE? OR NOT TRUE SALE? THAT IS THE QUESTION Jason W. Harbour and Jennifer E. Wuebker

FIFTH CIRCUIT REAFFIRMS BANKRUPTCY COURT JURISDICTION OVER REJECTION OF FERC-REGULATED OFFTAKE AGREEMENT L. James Dickinson and Hugh M. McDonald

BANKRUPTCY COURT HOLDS TITLE HOLDER CONSENT IS ENOUGH TO GIVE COLLATERAL RIGHTS TO DEBTOR TO GRANT LIENS Deborah J. Enea and Frank Montes de Oca

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TAKES STEPS TOWARD STUDENT LOAN DEBT FORGIVENESS Katherine D. Brodie and Christopher A. Brown

IS A BY-THE-HOUR MOTEL A SINGLE ASSET REAL ESTATE FOR CHAPTER 11 PURPOSES? Dania Slim and Alana A. Lyman

H. JOSEPH HAMM V. THE LORAIN COAL & DOCK COMPANY: WHAT HAPPENS TO PROPERTY OF A DISSOLVED COMPANY? Mike Brewster



Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law

VOLUME 18	NUMBER 5	July–August 2022
Editor's Note: The Summe	er Reading Issue	
Victoria Prussen Spears	C C	201
Seventh Circuit Provides	Rare Guidance on "Statutory Liens"	
Ivan Loncar, Michele C. M	laman and Casey John Servais	204
How Intercreditor Agreem and Outcomes for Secured	nents Shape Bankruptcy Proceedings d Creditors	
Kim Wynn, Christopher C	ombest and Jason Curry	210
Recent Significant U.S. Cl	hapter 15 Decisions	
Francisco Vazquez		216
-	Problem: 11th Circuit Affirms Due	
	ere Debtor Provides Actual Notice	22/
Matthew Goren, Lauren Ta	2	224
True Sale? Or Not True Sa Jason W. Harbour and Jenn		229
Fifth Circuit Reaffirms Ba	inkruptcy Court Jurisdiction Over	
Rejection of FERC-Regula		
L. James Dickinson and Hu	0	234
	Title Holder Consent Is Enough to C	live
Collateral Rights to Debt		
Deborah J. Enea and Frank		238
	Takes Steps Toward Student Loan De	ebt
Forgiveness Katharing D. Bradia and C.	Christophon A. Proven	241
Katherine D. Brodie and C	÷	2
Is a By-the-flour Motel a Purposes?	Single Asset Real Estate for Chapter	11
Dania Slim and Alana A. L	vman	2.44
	Corain Coal & Dock Company: What	211
Happens to Property of a	1 0	
Mike Brewster	<u>r</u>	247



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or replease call: Ryan D. Kearns, J.D., at	. 513.257.9021		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(973) 820-2000		
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:			
Customer Services Department at	(800) 833-9844		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(518) 487-3385		
Fax Number	(800) 828-8341		
Customer Service Website http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/			
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call			
Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940 (937) 247-0293		

Library of Congress Card Number: 80-68780 ISBN: 978-0-7698-7846-1 (print) ISBN: 978-0-7698-7988-8 (eBook) ISSN: 1931-6992

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT'S JOURNAL OF BANKRUPTCY LAW [page number] ([year])

Example: Patrick E. Mears, *The Winds of Change Intensify over Europe: Recent European Union Actions Firmly Embrace the "Rescue and Recovery" Culture for Business Recovery*, 10 PRATT'S JOURNAL OF BANKRUPTCY LAW 349 (2014)

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

SCOTT L. BAENA Bilzin Sumberg Baena Price & Axelrod LLP

> ANDREW P. BROZMAN Clifford Chance US LLP

MICHAEL L. COOK Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP

> Mark G. Douglas Jones Day

> Mark J. Friedman DLA Piper

> **STUART I. GORDON** *Rivkin Radler LLP*

PATRICK E. MEARS Barnes & Thornburg LLP

Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law is published eight times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form-by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise-or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005. smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed-articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

Bankruptcy Court Holds Title Holder Consent Is Enough to Give Collateral Rights to Debtor to Grant Liens

By Deborah J. Enea and Frank Montes de Oca*

The authors of this article explain a bankruptcy court decision addressing rights in collateral.

The U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma in *Kirtley v. Mabrey Bank (In re Rudick)*¹ recently held that an entity other than the debtor may grant a lien on the debtor's property, affirming the legal standard that consent of the true property owner is sufficient to give a debtor rights in collateral to grant a security interest in that property. As a result, the bankruptcy court also held the bank's security interest, perfected prior to the debtor's bankruptcy, was enforceable and had priority over the bankruptcy trustee's rights to the property.

One of the three key elements under Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code ("UCC") for the attachment of a lien on personal property is that the debtor granting the lien has "rights in the collateral."² The concept of "rights in the collateral" is distinct from "title" to the goods; a debtor may grant a lien under less than all of the bundle of rights that comprises title to property. Under the UCC, a debtor's "limited rights in collateral, short of full ownership, are sufficient for a security interest to attach." The bankruptcy court in *In re Rudick* addressed this issue.

BACKGROUND

In *In re Rudick*, individual Michael Rudick filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Rudick's petition included,

^{*} Deborah J. Enea (deborah.enea@troutman.com) is a partner at Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP focusing her practice on leveraged finance transactions such as acquisition financings; syndicated, club and bilateral financings; mezzanine financings; cash flow and asset-based lending; and other secured and unsecured lending transactions for financial institutions and corporate borrowers. Frank Montes de Oca (frank.montesdeoca@troutman.com) is an associate at the firm representing financial institutions, private investment funds, investors, and borrowers in a wide range of domestic and cross-borders financing transactions, including investment grade and middle-market transactions.

¹ Kirtley v. Mabrey Bank (In re Rudick), No. 20-11918-M (Bankr. N.D. Okla. Apr. 28, 2022).

² Section 9-203. Attachment and Enforceability of Security Interest; Proceeds; Supporting Obligations; Formal Requisites, U.C.C. Text § 9-203.

among other things, certain personal property consisting of vehicles, tractors, boats, and trailers (collectively, the "Property").

Prior to the petition date, Rudick had a banking relationship with Mabrey Bank ("Bank") and had entered into several personal loans with the Bank. Rudick also owned an Oklahoma limited liability company known as Cornerstone Concrete and Excavation LLC ("Cornerstone"). Cornerstone was a separate legal entity from Rudick.

Prior to the petition date, Cornerstone had entered into a commercial loan transaction with the Bank, whereby it purported to grant a security interest on the Property to the Bank to secure Cornerstone's loan from the Bank. The Bank filed liens against the Property. Rudick executed the relevant Bank loan documents on behalf of Cornerstone in his capacity as president of Cornerstone.

ANALYSIS

The question is whether Cornerstone's grant of a security interest in the Property had attached as of the petition date and was enforceable, which would defeat the bankruptcy trustee's rights to the Property as a result of the Chapter 7 filing. The bankruptcy trustee asserted that Cornerstone could not grant a security interest in the Property because it did not own the Property. The Bank did not disagree that Cornerstone did not own the Property, but it argued that ownership had no bearing on whether Cornerstone could grant an enforceable security interest.

The bankruptcy court noted that attachment of a security interest is governed by Section 1-9-203 of Oklahoma's Commercial Code,³ which adopts Section 9-203 of the UCC. Under Section 9-203 of the Oklahoma UCC, a security interest attaches to the collateral when:

- The debtor has signed a security agreement describing the collateral.
- Value has been given.
- The debtor has rights in the collateral or the power to transfer rights in the collateral to a secured party.

Only the third bullet point was in issue: whether Cornerstone had rights in the Property or the power to transfer rights in the Property to the Bank. The bankruptcy court determined that Cornerstone had rights in the Property based on the consent of Rudick to give Cornerstone such rights. The bankruptcy court affirmed a precedent ruling where "an owner's permission to use goods as

³ Okla. Stat. tit. 12A, § 1-9-203.

collateral creates rights in the debtor sufficient to give rise to an enforceable security interest," and this consent may be implicit based on facts and circumstances.

OUTCOME

The bankruptcy court found that Rudick's signature on behalf of Cornerstone was evidence that Rudick had notice and knowledge of Cornerstone's grant to the Bank and that Rudick consented to the grant. Further, the Bank could rely on the representation by Rudick that Cornerstone had authority to pledge the Property as security for the loan. As such, Cornerstone had rights in the Property, and the Property was subject to a valid security interest in favor of the Bank at the time Rudick filed his bankruptcy petition.

The bankruptcy court noted an inverse circumstance with a contrary holding. In that case, an individual taking a personal loan and signing the relevant loan documentation in his individual behalf could not pledge rights in property owned by a company that the same individual owned. The individual's signature alone did not evidence any consent by the company to pledge the company's property or that the individual otherwise had rights in the company's property. Lenders should take note of the divergent results.

SIGNIFICANCE

It is critical that a lender understands who owns the collateral, especially if it is material to the underwriting and credit profile of a loan. In *In re Rudick*, the Bank had the benefit of the law favoring its circumstance, but the prudent approach would have been to have Rudick directly pledge the rights in the Property in his personal and not representative capacity. Notwithstanding the ultimately favorable outcome, correctly documenting this transaction would have saved the Bank the time and cost of litigating the issue with the bankruptcy trustee.

Lenders are advised to consult with their legal counsel whenever questions as to the attachment or perfection of liens are at issue. It may be "other people's property," but legal counsel can help a lender determine the appropriateness of such property serving as collateral.