

Articles + Publications | September 16, 2024

Al and HR: Navigating Legal Challenges in Recruiting and Hiring

Troutman Pepper Artificial Intelligence Alert – Intelligent Insight by Real Lawyers

WRITTEN BY

Alison A. Grounds | Sadia Mirza | Ronald I. Raether Jr. | Kim Phan | James Koenig | Joel M. Lutz | Tracey E. Diamond | Peter T. Wakiyama | Laura Hamady

By Jim Koenig, Laura Hamady, Joel Lutz, Tracey Diamond, Alison Grounds, Ron Raether, Kim Phan, Peter Wakiyama, and Sadia Mirza

Using AI in HR – Hire or Hover? Hiring executives are asking if the compliance costs and discrimination risks outweigh the anticipated benefits of using artificial intelligence (AI) tools for hiring and employment-related activities. Troutman Pepper has been monitoring this rapidly evolving issue, including current and anticipated legal requirements as well as the emerging best practices companies are implementing. This alert provides an overview of the types of AI-specific considerations that are in play, highlighting the potentially wide-ranging compliance obligations attendant to using AI-related tools in employment-related processing.

- Discrimination and Bias Considerations and Current US Laws. In the U.S., Al systems used in hiring can inadvertently cause organizations to violate existing anti-discrimination laws (such as Title VII of the Civil Rights Act) and may trigger obligations or lead to liability under emerging Al or Al-adjacent laws. Some of the emerging concerns around the use of Al in hiring are seen in the following laws or guidance:
 - The EEOC's 2022 Guidance on AI in Hiring: This focuses on potential ADA violations when using AI tools that
 may screen out individuals with disabilities and recommends providing specific disclosures and
 accommodations in AI-driven hiring processes.
 - Colorado's Al Act: This regulates high-risk Al systems and would require companies to conduct a data protection impact assessment (DPIA) before using Al in hiring, as well as requiring specific notices to be provided to job applicants when Al may be used in hiring decisions. A high-risk Al system is any Al system that, when deployed, makes or is a substantial factor in making a consequential decision (i.e., any decision that has a material, legal, or similarly significant effect on the provision or denial to any consumer of, or the costs or terms of, education, employment, financial or lending services, etc.).
 - The Illinois Al Video Interview Act: This requires employers to notify candidates about Al use in video interviews, obtain consent from candidates before using Al analysis, mandate reporting on the race and ethnicity of applicants who are and aren't hired, limit sharing of video interviews, and delete them within 30 days if requested by an applicant.
 - New York City's Law 144: This prohibits employers from using AI hiring tools unless they've undergone a
 bias audit within the past year, requires notification to candidates about AI use in the hiring process, and
 requires employers to publish summary results of their most recent bias audit.
 - The Utah AI Policy Act: Imposes transparency obligations on companies using Generative AI, including in the HR context. Companies that that use Gen AI to interact with an individual must disclose that the individual is interacting with Gen AI only if the individual asks. However, where Gen AI is used in the provision of regulated occupations (such a lawyers, engineers, accountants, educators, construction workers, personal care professionals and others), there are mandatory disclosure requirements, including verbal disclosures at the state of an oral exchange and through electronic messaging before a written exchange.

- **Discrimination and Bias Considerations and Current EU/UK Laws.** In the EU/UK, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and AI Act have similar prohibitions against automated decision-making and impose stricter requirements aimed at preventing algorithmic bias.
 - The GDPR restricts solely automated hiring decisions, requires explainability of Al-driven hiring decisions, and mandates DPIAs for Al hiring tools.
 - The EU AI Act classifies AI hiring systems as "high-risk," requiring human oversight in final hiring decisions; transparency to candidates about AI use; documentation of AI system development and testing; risk management systems to prevent bias, and assurance of high-quality training datasets to prevent discriminatory outcomes.

10 Steps to Take Now – Operational and Governance Enhancements Likely Required. Even if the AI tool being promoted by vendors promises to help avoid bias and skirt the concerns of regulators, most companies still need to enhance existing privacy, security, and other disclosures and internal policies before moving forward. For example, seven increasingly common practices companies are taking to ensure compliance before implementing AI in hiring processes include the following:

- 1. **Develop Data Maps and Inventories**. Conduct interviews to gain an understanding of the AI uses and data involved as well as the jurisdictions involved to map and pinpoint legal obligations and required practices;
- 2. **Create and Complete Al/DPIAs.** Complete DPIAs (or other impact assessments) before adopting and implementing any Al hiring tool;
- 3. Form Al Governance Committee/Structure. Make Al uses a team sport by having Al/DPIAs considered and approved by legal, privacy, security, safety, business, human resources, marketing, manufacturing, supply chain, and other experts and company leaders, leveraging existing privacy, compliance, security, or other committees if and as appropriate;
- 4. **Update Candidate Notifications.** Implement clear candidate notification processes about AI use in hiring (including JIT notices and a specific Applicant and Worker Privacy Notice);
- 5. No Automated Decisions. Ensure human oversight in final hiring decisions;
- 6. **Provide Choice and Opt Outs.** Provide options for candidates to opt out of Al-driven assessments and request explanations for Al-driven hiring decisions;
- 7. **Review Vendor Contracts.** Review vendor contracts to limit or eliminate their independent rights to benchmark or train their algorithms with your data;
- 8. Train, Train. Regularly train HR staff on Al tool use and potential bias issues; and
- 9. Conduct Bias Audits. Conduct and document regular bias audits of such Al hiring tool(s);
- 10. **Implement/Update Records Retention Policies and Practices.** Develop clear data retention and deletion policies for candidate information for both candidates being considered by Al and/or traditional means.

Details Matter. The devil is in the details. Appropriate and responsible uses of AI, especially in the HR context, often depend on the size of the organization, business priorities, compliance capabilities/resources, availability and sensitivity of the data involved, privacy and global data transfer maturity, and personal and IP information handling risks.

Closing. For any questions or assistance in responding to the items on this checklist, please contact Jim Koenig at Troutman Pepper at 610.246.4426 or jim.koenig@troutman.com, Laura Hamady at 312.759.8880 or laura.hamady@troutman.com or any member of the Troutman Pepper Privacy + Cyber Practice, Labor + Employment Practice, or eDiscovery + Data Management team.

RELATED INDUSTRIES + PRACTICES

- Artificial Intelligence
- Labor + Employment
- Privacy + Cyber
- eDiscovery + Data Management