

Articles + Publications | October 4, 2021

New Privacy Laws From Coast to Coast: Comparing California, Virginia and Colorado

Privacy & Cybersecurity Newsletter

WRITTEN BY

Theodore P. Augustinos | Alexander R. Cox

In 2023, new consumer privacy laws will be effective in Colorado, Virginia, and California. Of these, the Colorado Privacy Act (SB 21-190, the "CPA") is the latest to be enacted. Effective July 1, 2023, the CPA shares many terms and concepts with the California and Virginia laws. The Colorado law borrows more heavily than the others from the General Data Protection Regulation of the European Union (the "GDPR") in terminology, consumer rights, and the requirements of controllers (as defined below). Colorado also takes a new approach to enforcement, but with a long 60 day cure period that offers controllers an opportunity to fix issues before facing penalties. At the end of this article is a summary chart, comparing the California, Colorado and Virginia privacy laws. We note that the California Consumer Privacy Act is currently in effect, but the comparisons below and the summary chart consider the California and Virginia laws as of January 1, 2023, when the California Privacy Rights Act ("CPRA") and Virginia ("VCDPA") privacy laws become effective.

The CPA applies to "controllers," defined to include persons that determine the purposes for and means of processing personal data and that (i) conduct business or produce goods or services that are intentionally targeted to Colorado residents, and (ii) either: (A) control or process personal data of more than 100,000 Coloradans per year; or (B) derive revenue from the sale of personal data of at least 25,000 Coloradans. Unlike California, the Colorado law (similar to the Virginia law) does not include the total revenue threshold that exists in California. Although Colorado does not include a broad exemption for non-profits, there are exemptions for state institutions of higher learning that maintain personal data for non-commercial purposes. Like Virginia, Colorado defines "consumer" to exclude both the information of personnel (including employees), and business contacts. In California, the personnel and business to business exemptions are currently scheduled to sunset on January 1, 2023. Many of the CPA's exemptions follow those in Virginia, which differ from California. For example, financial institutions subject to the federal Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (the "GLBA") are exempt from the Colorado and Virginia statutes; only data collected subject to the GLBA (rather than the institutions themselves) are exempt from the CPRA.

The consumer rights provided by the CPA are similar to the rights provided under the California and Virginia statutes. It affords rights of access, correction, portability and deletion, as well as rights to limit processing and to opt out of sales of data, profiling and targeted advertising. If Coloradans believe their rights are not being respected, they also have the right to appeal a controller's determination, similar to the Virginia right to appeal. As in the GDPR, VCDPA, and CPRA, Colorado also requires controllers to enter into contracts with processors, which are defined as third parties that process personal data on the controller's behalf, and to provide reasonable data security.

The CPA does contain some requirements, such as the requirement to perform Data Protection Assessments ("DPAs"), that will be new to many controllers that do not already process sensitive personal data under the GDPR. A DPA will be required prior to performing certain processing activities considered "high risk". This includes processing of "sensitive data," which includes health data, genetic or biometric data, children's data, or data that would reveal an individual's race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, sex life, or citizenship status. DPAs will also be required for targeted advertising or profiling if the processing could result in wide variety of otherwise reasonably foreseeable risks to Coloradans following the processing activities. Sales of personal data will also require a DPA. Although the new Colorado requirements to conduct DPAs are similar to the current GDPR requirements, these requirements are new on the U.S. privacy landscape, and will be the largest adjustment in terms of internal processes for controllers already used to many of the types of requirements such as contracting, reasonable security, and consumer rights management that already exist in California.

The CPA delegates enforcement to the Colorado Attorney General and District Attorneys, while the Attorney General will be responsible for enforcement in Virginia, and the new California Privacy Protection Agency will be charged with enforcing the CPRA. Colorado also raises the maximum penalty amounts compared to California and Virginia from \$7,500 to \$20,000 per violation. Colorado does, however, adopt two business-friendly provisions related to enforcement. First, like Virginia's law and unlike California's, the CPA does not incorporate a private right of action, which allows controllers to avoid the uncertainty of class actions and other private litigants stemming from this law. Second, the CPA provides for a 60 day cure period following a violation before penalties may be sought, in contrast to Virginia's 30 day cure period. (It is worth noting that the current 30 day right to cure under the California law will be removed by the CPRA with respect to enforcement actions, leaving a 30 day right to cure only for private rights of action as of January 1, 2023.) The CPA's right to cure will help controllers as they adjust to the new law and many of the new requirements.

The following chart offers a summary and comparison of the features of the California, Virginia and Colorado statutes:

Virginia	Colorado

Repsionabilityformation or Personal Data [Different thresholds forajbaticle/bilitijo]ns in CA, CO and VA.]	Personal internation is ness in information that identifies, relates to, describes, is the sociated with, or could reasonably be linked, directly or hayen by wiff a particular \$25,000,000 with a valiable information or deidentified or aggregate consolor of over 100,000	Personal data. Personal data of at least 100,000 consumers per versonal data.	from the sale of personal data and processes or controls the personal data of 25,000 consumers or more. Correctly that conducts information that is linked or pusinessly inkable to an produces or idelinates ble information. Services that are intentionally targeted to residents of Colorado and: 1. Controls or processes the
Sensitive Information [Different definitions. CO and VA track the GDPR; CA also includes information that could be used to commit fraud and identity theft.]	consumers or households; or Sensitive personal information means (in- Summary personal information means (in- Summary personal information about a certain information about a certain information about a certain information are listed in the statute and include data types similar to those listed in Virginia and Colorado, and information such as Social Security number, driver's license, state identification card or passport numbers, account log-in, financial account, debit card or credit card numbers in combination with any required security or access code, password or credentials allowing access to an account, and precise geolocation.	inclinated an approvement racial bestonia eliginoral qui que so beliefs, physical or mental realith diagnosis; sexual perive orientation halfodizensa invigrant status es yell as processing of genetic or biometric data for identification, precise geolocation data, and personal data collected from a known child.	personal data of 100,000 Sensitive data means consumer soft more during a personal data revealing racial calendar pegin preligious beliefs, a mental or physical health condition or diagnosis, a person's sex life or sexual crientation, distizentation, toe citizenship status as well as genetic or biometric data that may be processed for the purpose of uniquely identifying an individual. The definition also includes personal data from a known child.

©2025 Troutman Pepper Locke 3

	subject to HIPAA	DPPA, FERPA and others	DPPA, FERPA and others
	3. Data regulated by FCRA, DPPA, FERPA and others	6. Non-profit organizations	6. Data maintained by state institutions of higher learning for non- commercial purposes
Key Exemptions	Avden the fipersanizations	1. Institutions subject to	1. Institutions subject to
Consumba ustiyas	B2B exemptions in CA are	GLBA and its implementing	GLBA and its implementing
Right of Access	Sefectuled to sunset January	regulations	regulations
Right of Portability	1, 2023, although many Yes expect they will be extended.]	Yes 2. Institutions and information	Yes 2. Institutions and information
Right to Correct	Yes 1. Information (not	જ્યુને gject to HIPAA	જ્યું ject to HIPAA
Right to Delete	institutions) subject to GLBA	3.esersonnel data	3.epersonnel data
Opt-out Right (Ads / Selling)	or California financial privacy Yes Iaws	Yes 4. B2B information	Yes 4. B2B information
Opt-in Right for processing Sensitive Data	মূএগঙাকাকাজ ক্রম্থ নির্দিশ্বর্য্যনির বিজ্ঞানির বিজ্ঞান	წ.epata regulated by FCRA,	క్రesata regulated by FCRA,
Non-Discrimination Right (for exercising consumer rights)	Yes	Yes	No
Private Right of Action	Yes	No	No
Business/Controller (Dbligations		
Notice to Consumers	Yes (Notice at Collection specifically required)	Yes	Yes
Privacy Policy	Yes (California Privacy Policy specifically required)	disclosures may be	No (although required disclosures may be incorporated in privacy policy)
Contractual Requirements for Third Party Service Providers/ Processors	Yes	Yes	Yes
Data Processing Impact Assessments (DPAs)	No	Yes	Yes
Enforcement			
Right to Cure	None (Note: existing right to cure sunsets January 1, 2023)	30 days	60 days
Enforcer	Dedicated enforcement agency (CPPA), Attorney General, and Private litigants	Attorney General	Attorney General and District Attorneys

©2025 Troutman Pepper Locke

RELATED INDUSTRIES + PRACTICES

• Privacy + Cyber