

# Jeffrey M. Goldman Partner

Los Angeles jeffrey.goldman@troutman.com D 949.567.3547



Clients seek out Jeff for his trial experience in commercial disputes, labor and employment matters, consumer cases, intellectual property litigation, and class actions.

#### **OVERVIEW**

Jeff concentrates his practice in trial and dispute resolution, labor and employment matters (including wage and hour actions), consumer remedy matters (including several Consumer Legal Remedy Act and Unfair Competition Law disputes in a variety of fields), product liability issues, intellectual property matters, and class actions.

He has represented clients in labor and employment matters in a variety of fields, including construction, retail/fashion, medical device companies, and professional recruiting companies. He also has experience in handling sexual harassment claims.

Jeff has represented companies and individuals ranging from *Fortune* 500 entities to small business owners. Further, Jeff has tried cases in California's federal and state courts, including both jury and bench trials, as well as handling administrative actions before the California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Labor Standards Enforcement.

#### REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS

- Faulkner v. ADT Security Services, Inc., et al., No. C 11-00968 JSW, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 50993 (N.D. Cal. May 12, 2011) (granting motion to dismiss putative class action alleging that ADT's practice of recording phone calls violated California Penal Code sec. 632).
- Auburn University v. International Business Machines Corp., Civil No. 11-cv-399 L (POR), 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 34230 (S.D. Cal. March 30, 2011) (denying Auburn's motion to enforce a subpoena against third party, and later granting client's attorneys' fees incurred to oppose said motion).
- Neborsky v. Valley Forge Composite Techs., et al., Case No. 13-CV-2307-MMA (BGS), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 62147 (S.D. Cal. April 28, 2014) (granting motion to dismiss securities class action complaint alleging violations of Rule 10b-5 and 20(a)).

#### **AWARDS**

• Best Lawyers in America®: Commercial Litigation (2021-2026)

- Selected for inclusion on the Southern California Rising Stars lists (2012-2014)
- Named to the BTI Client Service All-Stars 2018

#### **TOP AREAS OF FOCUS**

- Business Litigation
- Class Action
- International Arbitration
- Labor + Employment

# **ALL AREAS OF FOCUS**

- Accessible Facilities and Services (ADA Titles II and III)
- Automotive
- Business Litigation
- Class Action
- Financial Services Litigation
- Incidents + Investigations
- Independent Contractor Misclassification + Compliance
- International Arbitration
- Labor + Employment
- <u>Labor + Employment Litigation + Dispute Resolution</u>
- <u>Litigation + Trial</u>
- Noncompete + Trade Secrets
- Securities Litigation
- <u>Technology</u>

### PROFESSIONAL/COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

• Member, Board of Directors, Constitutional Rights Foundation - Orange County

# **EDUCATION AND CERTIFICATIONS**

#### **EDUCATION**

- University of California, Los Angeles School of Law, J.D., 2004, member, Order of the Coif
- · University of Wisconsin-Madison, B.A., 2001, communication arts

#### **BAR ADMISSIONS**

California

## **COURT ADMISSIONS**

• U.S. Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit

- U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California
- U.S. District Court, Southern District of California
- U.S. District Court, Central District of California
- U.S. District Court, Northern District of California

# **PUBLICATIONS**

- Co-author, "California Appeals Court Determines ADA Not Applicable to E-Commerce Websites Lacking a Brick-and-Mortar Store," Troutman Pepper, August 4, 2022.
- Co-author, "Ninth Circuit Provides Companies New Guidance and Much Needed Potential Relief in Prop 65 Litigation," Troutman Pepper, March 29, 2022.
- Co-author, "NY District Court Rules ADA Does Not Apply to Internet-Only Businesses," *Troutman Pepper*, September 2, 2021.
- Co-author, "California Governor Enacts Legislation to Codify Civil Procedure Emergency Rules," Troutman Pepper, October 13, 2020.
- Co-author, "California Appeals Court Relies on Nexus Theory to Reverse Dismissal of ADA Website Violation Complaint," Troutman Pepper, July 2, 2020.